3

4

5

6

ty.

8

9

CITY OF GREAT FALLS PUBLIC EMPLOYEES DRAFT COUNCIL.

ULP-18-1975

Compleinant,

-93-

CITY OF GREAT FALLS

EINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW. AND RECOMMENDED ORDER

Respondent.

在 在 其 在 年 月 月 月 月 月 日 日 七 九 九 九 五 五 五

STATEMENT OF CASE

As a result of an unfair labor practice charge filed by the Great Falls Public Employees Craft Council on September 30, 1975, the Executive Secretary of the Montana State Board of Personnel Appeals served Motice of Hearing to be held on January 14, 1926.

A Motion to Continue the hearing was requested by the Respondent and was granted by the Board of Personnel Appeals. A new hearing date was set on January 26, 1976.

The Complainant, bereinafter referred to as the Craft Council, basically alleges in ULF No. 18, 1975, that the City of Great Falls, hereinofter referred to as the City, is committing on unfair labor practice in violation of 59-1605(1)(e), in that the City's refusal to recognize the Craft Council's member employees employed at the Great Falls International Airport as part of the Craft Council's barguining unit covered by an ongoing collective bargaining agreement entered into on July 1, 1975, constitutes a failure to bargain in good faith.

The City's answer to ULP No. 18, 1975, to substance denied the Ereft Council's allegations. The fity specifically decied that the contract in question covers the employees who are union members of the Eraft Council and employed by the Ercat Falls Airport Authority at the Great Falls International Airport.

Central to the City's denial is that the City contends that it did not have the authority to negotiate or execute a collective bargaining agreement on behalf of the employees employed at the Great Falls International Airport. The Lity's denial of authority to bargain is based on the creation of the Great Fells Airport Authority, which occurred to December 26, 1973. The City contends that the

10 2.25 12 3.3 14

> 16 3.9

15

19 20

18

23 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

29 30

33 32

38

Airport Authority itself is a separate corporate body and responsible to negotiate with the Craft Council concerning the airport employees.

The hearing was held an January 26, 1975, by Cordell R. Brown, appointed agent of the Board of Personnel Appeals. The hearing was conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Montana Administrative Procedure Act (Section 82-4201 - 82-4225, R.C.M. 1947). Since the date of the hearing, Mr. Brown has left the employment of the Board of Personnel Appeals. As staff attorney for the Board, I have been substituted as hearings examiner for this matter.

After thorough review of the entire record of the case, including sworn testinony, evidence, and briefs, I make the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- The City entered into a contractual agreement with the Graft Council on July 1, 1973.
 - A. This contract is a Master Contract involving six individual craft unions.
 - B: The Master Contract (Joint Exhibit #1) was in force untll June 30, 1975.
 - C. It is important to note that this contract has specific references to the airport amployees: on page 2 paragraph (A) in the Special Work Schedules clause, then on page 5 in the Parking clause, and then on page 9 under the Wage Rates clause.
 - D. The Successor clause provided that the agreement would be binding upon the party's successors or assigns.
- 2. The City entered into a contractual agreement with the Craft Council on July 1, 1975 (Joint Exhibit #2).
 - A. This is a Hester Contract involving the same individual unloss as In the 1973 contract negotiations.
- B. Here again it is important to note that as in the 1973 contract (Joint Exhibit #1) there are specific references to the airport employees. For example, on page 2 Special Work Schedules clause, page 4 in the Parking clause, and on pages 14 and 15 under the Wage Bates clause.

- December 26, 1973, after the July 1, 1973 contract had been signed, the City of Great Falls City Commission created by resolution, under state law, 1-904, an Airport Authority.
 - A. The Airport Authority is "a public body corporate" under 1-904, and in effect became the new employer of the airport employees on December 26, 1973.
 - B. During the hearing Mr. Richard Thomas testified that the Airport Authority is completely independent of the City of Great Falls. The Authority Miras, Fires, and pays its personnal itself, and did so at the time of the Hearing.
- 4. In a letter dated April 10, 1975, (Respondent's Exhibit B) John E. Hanrell, Secretary of the Craft Council, formally notified Mr. Richard B. Thomas, City Manage of the City of Great Falls, that the Graft Council had decided to open the existent Agraement for negotiations, pursuant to the Terms of Agreement, at a time and place convenient to all.
- 5: In a lotter dated April 22, 1975, (Respondent's Exhibit E) Hr. Richard
 Thomas replied to Hr. Hanrell saying it was "the desire of the City of Great Falls
 to open the Agreement that now exists between the City and the Great Falls Public
 Employees Council in its entirety for the purpose of modification, adjustment,
 and/or change."
- Ar. Richard D. Thomas is the City Manager for the City of Great Falls,
 and entered his position on April 31, 1973.
 - A. Mr. Thomas was the chief negotiator for the City in both the 1973 and 1975 contract negotiations.
 - B. Mr. Thomas testified in the Bearing that he thought that the creation of the Airport Authority removed the airport employees from the baryaining unit for which he had the authority to negotiate.
 - C. Mr. Thomas testified that at no time before or during the negotiations did be inform the Craft Council that he considered himself without authority to negotiate concerning the airport employees.
 - Mr. Thomas testified that he communicated with Mr. Bill Utter, the Airport Manager, concerning the negotiations during the negotiations.

9.3

14 1.5

3.6 17

18 19

200

22

22 23

24

25

26

27

28.

200 30.

31

32

and that he was not forbidden to penotiate on the behalf of the Airport Authority by the Airport Authority nor by thm City Connission:

- E. Hr. Thomas testified that the entire 1973 contract was renegotiated. and that he had gone over the entire contract paragraph by paragraph.
- F. Mr. Thomas, upon being questioned by the Eraft Council's counsel, concerning whether he had adoptiated wages concerning the airport employees, answered in the affirmative.
- 7. Ar. Wincent J. Bosh, It the Susiness Depresentative for the Operating Engineers #400, and the President of the Eraft Council.
 - Hr. Bosh was the chief negotiator for the Eraft Council in the 1973 and 1975 contract negotiations.
 - B. Br. Bosh testified that the Eraft Council was not informed before or during the 1975 contract negotiations that the creation of the Airport Authority changed the unit status of the eirport employees. Mr. Such testified that the Graft Council was under the Impression that during accordations and after the contract was signed that the airport amployees were covered by the Agreement.
 - C. Mr. Both testified that the entire 1973 contract was renegotiated clause by clause, and that there was language directly speaking of the airport exployees that was covered in the negotiations. This language directly relates to working conditions and wages.

D150055108

In looking at the 1975 contract neogliations we can see some problems that presented the alleged violation: First Mr. Thomas' admitted fallure to notify the Craft Epuncil as to the change in the airport employees' employer, was a fallure to continue to bargain in good faith within the terms of the Agreement; and second Ar. Thomas' failure to notify the Craft Douncil that he no longer had the authority to negotiate concerning the sirport employee as he had had in the 1973 negociations, also was a failure to bargain in good faith. Good faith

3

4

5

?

9

10

12

13 14

1.5

16 17

18

19

20

23

23

20

24 25

26

27

29

30 31

32

bargaining requires that all the cards be shown on the table.

These two sins of omission have created an atmosphere of confusion, wherein we can readily see why the Craft Council would be under the impression that the 1975 negotiations and signed agreement covered the airport employees.

However, we cannot overlook the fact that the creation of the Airport Authority placed the airport employees under the jurisdiction of a new employer and that this would have been in effect as of December 26, 1973. According to the successor clause of the 1973 agreement, the Airport Authority had to sceept the 1973 agreement in its entirety and continue thereon to bargain with the Craft Council. The Airport Authority failed to notify the Craft Council as to the change in the employer-employee relationship that existed after its creation.

The Craft Council charges that the City of Great Falls' refusal to recognize the airport employees as covered under the 1975 agreement is a failure to bergain in good faith. We conclude, however, that the Citys' position in this matter is accurate; that it is not the employee of the Airport Authority's employees; and that the City is therfore not guilty of failing to bergain in good faith.

However, we do find that Mr. Thomas did negotiate as to the airport employees, and that he was in communication with the Airport Authority's chief staff officer. Mr. Bill Utter. We further find that Mr. Thomas was acting, therefore, as an agent of both the City of Great Falls and the Airport Authority, and that Mr. Thomas! signature binds both the City and the Airport Authority to the contract.

It is recommended that the Airport Authority formally appoint a representative to continue to bargain with the Graft Council.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

By conclusions of law are:

- (1) That the City of Great Falls is not guilty of failing to bargain in good faith by refusing to recognize the Airport Authorty's employees as covered by the agreement between the Craft Council and the City.
- (2) That a binding agreement exists between the Craft Council and the Aiport Authority, which is identical to the one which exists between the City and the Craft Council.

DROER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT Dufair Labor Practice #18, 1975, filled with this Board be dismissed. Dated this 27th day of April, 1976.

Jerry D. Painter Hearings Examiner

Ą

1.0

3.9

 $-f_{i-1}$